Category Y
AL-HAYAT Research Journal
Jlali Research Institute of Social Science, Lahore
ISSN (Online): 3006-4767
ISSN (Print):: 3006-4759
Volume 2 Issue 3 (April-June 2025)

Evolving Governance Practices: Adapting to ESG Concerns in a Globalized Business Environment

Haroon Jamil
Bachelor of Law, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan, jamilharoon700@gmail.com

Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman
Faculty Member, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan, tansif@live.com

Muhammad Attiullah
Bachelor of Law, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan, attiullahsudozai@gmail.com:

Abstract

This study explores corporate governance as the system of rules, practices, and processes by which corporations are directed and controlled. It emphasizes the importance of accountability, fairness, and transparency in managing relationships between firms and their stakeholders, including shareholders, management, customers, and employees. The study highlights how effective corporate governance ensures a balanced alignment of stakeholder interests, fostering long-term organizational success and sustainability. Key principles examined include ethical leadership, board accountability, risk management, and shareholder rights. The role of a well-structured board of directors is underscored as critical for overseeing management and shaping corporate strategies in line with stakeholder expectations. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that sound governance enhances investor confidence and reduces business risk by establishing trust and credibility. Conversely, poor governance may lead to financial misconduct, loss of stakeholder value, and reputational damage. In the context of an increasingly dynamic and interconnected global economy, the study also addresses the growing significance of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors, emphasizing the need for adaptive governance frameworks to ensure ethical decision-making and business resilience.

Keywords: Corporate governance, Stakeholder interests, Board accountability, Ethical leadership, ESG practices

Introduction

By directing and controlling a company, corporate governance describes the comprehensive system of rules, practices, and procedures by which this is executed (Mufti et al., 2023). Accountability, fairness, and transparency in a firm's relationship with key stakeholders, such as shareholders, management, customers, and the community (Asghar et al., 2022; Nizam, 2022). Corporate effective governance minimizes risks and returns, performance improvement, and trust of shareholders by harmonizing the goals of managers and shareholders (Ahmad et al., 2024). However, poor governance can cause scandals, financial losses, and reputational damage, as happened in Enron and Lehman Brothers cases (Arif et al., 2023; Shahzad et al., 2023). Accountability, transparency, fairness, and responsibility are key principles of corporate governance (Arslan & Alqatan, 2020). The Boards of Directors are essential for controlling business and guaranteeing compliance with legitimate and moral norms (Dawood et al., 2023; Hussain et al., 2025). The guidelines regulatory frameworks such as guidelines on how to improve governance structure (Bibi et al., 2025). According to Arif et al. (2023), studies prove that firms with solid governance mechanisms outperform financially and sustain long-term (Raza et al., 2024).

In a dynamic business environment, with changing technologies and growing stakeholder activism, corporate governance keeps evolving (Akhtar, 2025). However, the integration of environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria into governance frameworks has become important in dealing with the problems of sustainability (Khan et al., 2022). With globalization becoming more intense, multinational corporations are increasingly adjusting their governance practice to different legal and cultural environments as well as adopting the practice of configurations as a form of being in line with the varying expectations of stakeholders (Farooq et al., 2021). Finally, stronger corporate governance will create trust, reliable decision-making, and a lasting business expansion by including ESG principles in corporate strategies to strengthen the confidence of stakeholders and guarantee sustained development (Shakri et al., 2024).

Research Justification

The importance of corporate governance in Pakistan can be studied due to the extensive implications it bears on economic growth and development, investor confidence in the company, and the sustainability of the Pakistani business. Despite the existence of a relatively solid ecosystem of laws in the form of the Companies Act 2017 and the Code of Corporate Governance, there is poor enforcement transparency and compliance with known lists of best practices. These corporate governance mechanisms are not effective, creating corporate scandals, financial mismanagement, and erosion of stakeholder trust. Such research is justified because it can determine the gaps in the existing legal and regulatory framework and thereby supply knowledge on how corporate governance practices can be enhanced.

This study is helpful in that it questions the functions of directors, shareholders, and regulatory bodies like the Securities and Exchange Commission Pakistan (SECP) from two-sided perspectives, either in the context of the robustness of accountability or the augmentation of transparency. In this sense, it also looks at cultural and institutional barriers to effective corporate governance in Pakistan. Besides, such research is also essential for bringing Pakistan's corporate governance standards on par with global best practices, indispensable for getting foreign investment and facilitating economic development. Through an examination of these issues, this study may provide policy recommendations to address corporate governance issues that secure the long-term viability of business and protect stakeholders. This research is importantly academically significant but is also practically relevant to Pakistan's economic and corporate landscape.

Research Methodology

This study employed a systematic review methodology, with research objectives established accordingly. A comprehensive literature review was conducted (Komba & Lwoga, 2020). Research findings were categorized based on their content (Hiver et al., 2021; Petticrew & Roberts, 2006), and classified information was incorporated into the study by organizing it into headings (Gan et al., 2021; Pawson et al., 2005). The evaluation of classified information and titles formed the basis of the study (Page, 2021; Rahi, 2017), ensuring the integrity of the research subject and its contents (Egger et al., 2022; Victor, 2008). The criteria for selection are enlisted.

1. **Relevance:** Researches that directly addressed the questions posed by this study are included.
2. **Quality:** Studies that meet a certain quality threshold (e.g., methodological rigor, bias risk) are included. Most of the research is from Scopus-indexed and Clarivate Analytics journals and reputed publishers.
3. **Recency:** Consideration of the publication date to ensure that the review reflects the most current evidence. Most of the studies are from the last three years.
4. **Language:** Only studies published in English are included.
5. **Data Completeness:** Previous studies must provide sufficient data on outcomes of interest for practical synthesis; it is also ensured in this research.

This study did not use primary data from human participants; therefore, no ethics clearance letter from the ethics committee was required.

Literature Review

Corporate governance in Pakistan is an issue that has drawn a lot of attention owing to the connection existing between governance and financial performance and the impact that they have on investor confidence (Ahmad et al., 2024). Nevertheless, recent researches indicate that the historically poor system of governance has contributed to the collapse of corporations and the instability of the whole economy of the nation (Khan & Mahmood, 2023; Mufti et al., 2023). The Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) is in response to the challenges faced in Pakistan through the Code of Corporate Governance (2017), which has enhanced board accountability and transparency (Shahzad et al., 2023). Yet due to the lack of consistent research, compliance is not consistent, and research shows family-owned businesses, in particular, being less compliant as ownership concentration, in many cases, creates a conflict of interest (Ali et al., 2025; Nizam, 2022).

Due to the systemic importance of the banking sector in Pakistan, corporate governance research has focused on this industry. According to recent studies, banks with independent directors and strong audit committees react better during financial disturbance and risk management (Junaid et al., 2020; Bibi et al., 2025). However, governance quality is still being hampered by political interference and weak disclosure practices (Hussain et al., 2023; Hussain et al., 2025).

To curb weaknesses in governance, the State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) has introduced prudential regulations that need to be implemented, but lapses exist, principally in state-owned banks, because inefficiencies impede the pace of reform (Rehman et al., 2024; Raza et al., 2024).

The corporate environment of Pakistan is dominated by family-owned businesses whose management incorporates the challenge of the country. Shakri et al. (2025) demonstrate that family-owned companies inefficiency-wise indulge in the preference of personal interests and in the expropriation of minority shareholders (Akhtar, 2025). However, other people argue that family ownership can advance long-term decision-making in the event that there are checks and balances (Hussain et al., 2023). But the family businesses are ill-professionalized, and so is the independence of the board (Rehman et al., 2024).

The issue of corporate governance in sustainable business practices is identified as an area of newly emerging research in the context of Pakistan. Although the awareness is low (Ali et al., 2021), with the Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in place, firms perform better and record better long-term results. Although sustainability reporting is now gaining the attention of regulatory authorities like (Hanif et al., 2023), it remains a voluntary process and thus limits the scope of its effect. Both the stakeholder activism and the push for foreign investment are making Pakistani firms gradually shift to better governance, though institutional weaknesses or corruption are limiting them simultaneously (Khan et al., 2022).

Historical Context of Corporate Governance in Pakistan

Corporate governance in Pakistan has changed substantially over the last few decades with general economic reforms, amendments to the regulatory system, and external inputs from others (Mufti et al., 2023). In the early 2000s, the concept came into the limelight when the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) in 2002 enacted the Code of Corporate Governance. In response to global corporate scandals like Enron & World Com, this code was meant to bring on board in Pakistan some corporate practices "compatible with international standards" (Qaim & Ellahi, 2024; Shahzad et al., 2023).

It emphasized listed companies and concentrated on the concepts of similar transparency and accountability plus the rights of the shareholders (Nizam, 2022). It was the first time when corporate governance in Pakistan was not merely informal and created around family-owned businesses. Specifically, these firms had been run in a non-transparent and non-accountable way, which led to impaired judgment and financial losses (Athar et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2024). A rudimentary body of law was introduced through the Companies Ordinance of 1984, yet the latter could not handle the emerging issues of governance (Akhtar, 2025).

Another landmark in changing the retired and outdated ordinance was the proposed introduction of the Companies Act of 2017, which came with modern governance provisions such as multi-diversity on the board, independent directors, and increased disclosure requirements (Mukhtar et al., 2024; Bibi et al., 2025). Nonetheless, ineffective enforcement, low levels of cultural acceptability, and lack of stakeholder awareness are ongoing challenges to the corporate governance regime in Pakistan (Hussain et al., 2025; Raza et al., 2024).

Theoretical Context of Corporate Governance in Pakistan

Agency theory, stakeholder theory, and stewardship theory provide a theoretical foundation for corporate governance theory in Pakistan; all three theories give frameworks for understanding relationships between corporate entities and corporate stakeholders. Particularly in the context of Pakistan, Agency Theory is practical precisely because corporations are characterized by the separation of ownership and control, which induces conflicts of interest between shareholders (principals) and managers (agents). Agency costs arise due to asymmetric information allowing for independent boards, audit committees, and transparent reporting to be necessary to monitor and create accountability. On the other hand, In Pakistan, weak enforcement of these mechanisms leads to mismanagement and corporate scandals.

Based on the Stakeholder Theory notion that all stakeholders, employees, customers, and the community must all be considered, not just the shareholders, the focus is put on discussing the interests of all the stakeholders. Family-owned businesses in Pakistan generally neglect a stakeholder's concern over family interests. According to the Stewardship theory, managers are stewards who are driven intrinsically to act in the best interest of the organization.

Due to cultural and institutional barriers that restrain trust and collaboration between managers and stakeholders, there is a practically scant presence of this theory in Pakistan.

Legal Framework for Corporate Governance in Pakistan

The contemporary system of corporate governance in Pakistan is majorly premised on the Companies Act 2017, legislation that has since replaced the outdated Companies Ordinance 1984. This legislation has made modern provisions with regard to the boat's responsibilities, shareholders' rights, and financial disclosures, thus bringing Pakistan to the same level as healthy international practices. Besides those above, the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) Code of Corporate Governance (2017) requires listed companies to have independent directors, audit committees, and transparent financial reporting.

Furthermore, the State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) also lays downs the governing standards for banks under the Prudential Regulations and puts forward the risk management and inclusion of accountability. In addition, publicly traded firms on the Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX) are required to follow governance codes in line with PSX Listing Regulations. Yet enforcement varies little, and oversight occurs particularly loosely in family-owned businesses and state-owned enterprises (SOEs).

Challenges to Corporate Governance in Pakistan

1. Weak Regulatory Enforcement: The weakest link in reforms is the enforcement of corporate governance regulations. Pakistan has undertaken governance changes through, for example, the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) Code of Corporate Governance (2017), but the extent to which compliance is occurring remains inconsistent. Unfortunately, many companies, especially family-run ones, fail to follow governance standards that lack oversight and include no proportionate penalty for noncompliance. Regulatory bodies do not have sufficient authority and resources to implement governance reforms, and unethical practices take place with ease.

2. Prevalence of Family-Owned Businesses: About 50 percent of the corporate world in Pakistan is comprised of family-owned organizations where the ownership and control are concentrated in the hands of a small group of individuals. Such a setup of these organizations usually brings about conflict of interest with members of the family equation taking individual benefit over shareholder interests. The checks and balances are often ignored, and the audit committees and independent directors are marginalized. In addition, family businesses with respect to succession planning are unenthusiastically organized, thus bringing up instability and breakdown of governance.

3. Lack of Transparency and Disclosure: Many Pakistani firms suffer from poor transparency in financial reporting and decision-making, making it hard to judge the risks. Disclosure practices in state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and small-to-medium enterprises (SMEs) are generally weak. Annual reports of listed companies are supposed to be published, but the information disclosed is of low quality and may not be reliable. Insider trading and related party transactions further lower the credibility of operating mechanisms of good governance in a corporation.

4. Interference of the Politics in the Corporate Sector: But the biggest obstacle to good governance — vast political influence in state-owned banks and in the public sector companies — remains. Political affiliation rather than merit is heavily biased in appointments to key positions (such as board members and CEOs). As a result, the model is problematic, for it leads to inefficiencies, corruption, and mismanagement of resources. This political interference also discourages foreign investment in the Pakistani market because of governance instability that makes Pakistani markets high-risk in foreign investors' eyes.

5. Limited Shareholder Activism: In contrast with developed markets, where minority investors often challenge poor governance practices, in Pakistan, levels of shareholder activism are very low. Institutional investors are mainly sovereign and pension funds and mutual funds; instead of pushing for governance reforms, they habitually remain passive in this regard. Beyond that, legal protections for minority shareholders are weak, so it is not in their interest to sue managers for mismanagement.

6. Corruption and Ethical Lapses: Nonetheless, corruption remains an extensive issue that cuts across the corporate governance levels. Bribery, fraud, and embezzlement are carried out in both the public and the private sectors. Conducting perpetrators of crimes is tedious, and this locks vice due to poor judicial systems and the long process of litigation.

Opportunities for Corporate Governance in Pakistan

However, there are a fair number of challenges when it comes to the implementation of effective corporate governance in Pakistan. While there are innumerable opportunities, there are many opportunities for better governance frameworks and practices. The opportunities arising from technological advancements, growing public understanding of the significance of good governance, and regulatory reforms are serving as the source for this. A detailed discussion of these opportunities is provided below.

1. Regulatory Reforms and Modernization: The introduction of the Companies Act 2017, as well as the revised Code of Corporate Governance, provides a strong base for the improvement of corporate governance in Pakistan. Pakistan's governance standards are brought into line with international best practices, including transparency, accountability, and respecting the rights of stakeholders. These regulatory frameworks can increase the governance structures of the companies as they will facilitate the confidence of the investors.

2. Bigger Role for Technology: Digital reporting, blockchain, and artificial intelligence currently represent breakthrough technological advancements that present great opportunities for improving corporate governance. In turn, by utilizing digital platforms, financial reporting becomes more transparent, and stakeholders get easier access to information. Corporate records can be assured of integrity via Blockchain technology, and risk management and decision-making processes can be improved via AI.

3. Stakeholder's Awareness Regarding Global Warming: Corporate governance is generating increasing awareness among various stakeholders, namely the shareholders, the regulators, and the stakeholders in general. And that increased awareness provides an opportunity for companies to embrace best practices voluntarily to distinguish themselves in the market. For instance, institutional investors can engage themselves in a more participatory role in good governance of the company by acting as demanding accountability.

4. Capacity Building and Training Programs: An investment in capacity building in the form of fleshed-out training programs for directors, managers, and other stakeholders can make a big difference to governance practices. Through the development of the effectiveness of corporate leaders, corporate leaders can improve compliance with governance standards and develop a culture of accountability and transparency. Moreover, academic institutions and professional bodies can add to the cause by running specialized courses and certifications on corporate governance.

5. Strengthening Institutional Frameworks: This provides an opportunity to tighten institutional frameworks, the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) in this case, to ensure better enforcement of governance regulations. It can be done by availing government resources, authority, and capacity to ensure regulatory bodies have a fighting chance to ensure compliance and a predictable business environment. Similarly, independent governance oversight bodies can further enhance standards of governance.

6. Board Diversity and Independence: Another critical opportunity for improving corporate governance is to broaden the diversity and independence of boards. Boards that have different experiences bring different perspectives and make better decisions. Independent directors can offer unbiased oversight and see to it that management does what is in the best interest of the company and other parties having a vested interest in it.

7. Encouraging Sustainable Business Practices: As ESG factors gain more importance globally, Pakistani companies now have an opportunity to practice sustainable business. Companies are able to attract socially responsible investors, enhance their reputation, and contribute to long-term sustainability by integrating ESG principles into their governance frameworks.

8. Building an Effective Use of International Partnerships: International partnerships and collaborations can be turned into windows by Pakistan for improving its corporate governance standards. Pakistani companies can enhance their credibility and competitiveness in the global market by learning from international best practices and participating in international governance initiatives. Furthermore, international organizations, the OECD and World Bank, can partner up and provide technical assistance and finance to governance reforms.

Discussion

Corporate governance in Pakistan explains this interplay of regulatory frameworks and cultural norms within the context of institutional capacities. The country has taken some significant strides towards a legal basis for the public administration of the Companies Act 2017 and the Code of Corporate Governance, but these are still bogged by weak enforcement as well as cultural resistance. Overwhelmingly, family-owned businesses, which make up most companies, put personal over governance interests and end up with conflicts of interest and a lack of accountability. Also, weaknesses in board independence, internal control, as well financial awareness among stakeholders contribute to the governance challenge the company has.

Yet, these issues are eyed with considerable opportunities to eradicate them. As the governance practices shift to the center of attention, their firm basis is provided in the form of regulatory reforms, changes in technologies, and raising awareness of the stakeholders. The use of digital tools allows corporate leaders to transform their corporate governance into something more transparent and open to accountability, and capacity-building programs can provide corporate leaders with these skills regarding how to apply the governance frameworks. Further reinforcement of institutional frameworks is possible, the diversity of boards is encouraged, and the principle of ESG can be incorporated into corporate governance strategies, making corporations both more credible and sustainable.

The effectiveness of the reforms of corporate governance in Pakistan has more to do with the endeavors of each regulator, business person, and stakeholder. By filling an enforcement gap, encouraging a culture of transparency, and using global best practices, it is possible to have a resilient corporate sector that not only drives growth but also elicits investment in Pakistan. The road to corporate governance is still a long road. However, the significant prospects of the improvement are not only excellent, but they are also realistic.

Conclusion

After decades of military dictatorship, the formal and recent establishment of the federation of Pakistan is at a critical juncture, beset by sharp challenges as well as sharp opportunities for getting corporate governance right and creating real value for stakeholders. Additionally, the country has a strong legal framework, including the Companies Act of 2017 and the Code of Corporate Governance. However, weak enforcement, institutional weaknesses, and cultural barriers constrain its implementation. As we move on to family-owned businesses, which are dominant in the MENA region, lack of board independence, lack of board independence, and awareness amongst other stakeholders makes the equation more complicated. However, they can't escape, though, as improvements abound. The regulatory reforms, technological advancements, and awareness of principles of governance have resulted in the progress that has stacked up so nicely. To strengthen transparency, accountability, and investor confidence, institutional frameworks can be strengthened, boards diversified, and sustainable business practices can be adopted. Should Pakistan solve them and pounce on all available opportunities, then one can construct a resurgent corporate sector in Pakistan that is capable of contributing to the economy of Pakistan and comports with international standards. Corporate governance that works is not easy, but the change needed can be done, and the possibilities are very encouraging, with regulators, businesses, and, more important, the whole ecosystem aligning together.

Recommendations

1. Enhance the Waters of Enforcement: The ability and mandate of SECP must be boosted so that corporate governance acts and regulations can be followed strictly.

2. Independent Board Leadership: This would be required to make companies have an independent decision-making body on their boards in order to maintain an independent decision-making process among the staff of the company.

3. Foster Stakeholder Participation: Assists shareholders, and in particular minority shareholders, in the appreciation of their rights and motivation to be active governance players.

4. Embrace Digital Technology: Blockchain and AI allow greater transparency, accountability, and effectiveness of corporate reporting and decision-making.

5. Enhance Training and Capacity Building: Participate in programs to invest in training programs at the director, managers, and stakeholders level to build up their expertise in corporate governance practices.

6. Promote Board Diversity: Board compositions need to be diversified along gender and ethnic representation lines to introduce diversity of thought to aid decision-making.

7. Ensure Robust Internal Audit Mechanisms & Independent Audit Committees: Strengthen internal controls so that the mechanisms for preventing fraud and mismanagement are strong enough.

8. Integrate ESG: Environmental, social, and governance principles should be integrated into corporate strategies to benefit from greater investment from socially responsible investors and to promote sustainability.

9. Foster a Culture of Transparency: Favoring accountability and ethical practices, we encourage whistleblow and protect whistle-blowers.

10. Collaboration: Collaborate with large organizations globally and use international governance standards to shape the corporate governance framework in Pakistan, along with the global best practices.

Research Limitations

The limitations of this research on corporate governance in Pakistan will restrict the generalization and the depth of the study. Secondly, the use of secondary data (e.g., annual reports and regulatory documents) may lead to less accuracy and less timeliness of the information. Secondly, the study is concerned with listed companies, which may not present a complete picture with respect to the governance challenges of unlisted and family-owned firms. Additionally, the lack of access to sensitive data and self-reported survey responses may lead to some bias in our findings.

Moreover, the research is bounded by the limited availability of recent works and data on corporate governance in Pakistan, hence completing a comprehensive analysis. Finally, since_package cannot cover every cultural and regional difference within Pakistan, the results may not be generalizable to all sectors and all regions within Pakistan. The limitations indicate that more research is required to fill these gaps and provide a more holistic view of corporate governance in Pakistan.

Research Implications

The policy, regulatory, corporate, and stakeholder implications from the findings of this research are significant for Pakistan. The study shows policymakers and regulators that more effective enforcement mechanisms and capacity building should be in place to enforce compliance with corporate governance standards. It is also evident in the need to update legal frameworks to respond to emerging governance challenges. The research shows corporate leaders that the benefits of implementing best practices such as board diversity, independent audits, and ESG principles improve transparency and accountability.

The study emphasizes the need for stakeholders, such as investors and shareholders, to be active, serious, and aware persons who will hold the management accountable and equally accountable to the management. The research also establishes a basis upon which academic scholars can continue to study other areas, including the effect of cultural factors on governance and the potential of technology to enhance governance compliance. The study adds to the ongoing discourse of corporate governance and suggests practical recommendations to develop Pakistan's corporate environment that is favorable to transparency and sustainability.

Future Research Directions

To address part of the existing gaps and provide in-depth knowledge of corporate governance in Pakistan, future studies on corporate governance should explore some areas. First, the studies are able to focus on the governance issues experienced by the unlisted and family-owned businesses, which form the majority of the corporate landscape but are usually overlooked. Second, the governance practice ought to be analyzed regarding the shaping of the practice by cultural and regional factors in order to determine how the same factors influence the compliance and transparency of the governance. Third, as technological tools, such as blockchain and AI, may enhance the functioning of governments, research may be undertaken about how these processes can be made more efficient with the help of these technologies.

Moreover, the role of board diversity and ESG principles on the performance of an organization and stakeholder trust has yet to be investigated further. Besides, the comparative analysis may be conducted with some other emerging markets to draw lessons from Pakistan. Finally, longitudinal research with continued monitoring of how the implementation of reforms of governance is achieved helps to establish whether they are effective in the long run. The consideration of these areas would help find a solution in future research to formulate a sound and inclusive corporate governance framework in Pakistan.

Note: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

References

Ahmad, N., Mehmood, A. S., Azeem, M., & Ashraf, F. (2024). Corporate governance and nonperforming loans: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Economic Impact, 6*(2), 162–173. https://doi.org/10.52223/econimpact.2024.6207

Akhtar, S. (2025). Impact of corporate governance on firm performance: An empirical study on different sectors in Pakistan. *Research Journal of Psychology, 3*(2), 279–289. https://doi.org/10.59075/rjs.v3i2.128.

Ali, S., Fei, G., Ali, S., & Hussain, F. (2021). Corporate governance and firm performance: Evidence from listed firms of Pakistan. *Journal on Innovation and Sustainability RISUS, 12*(1), 170-187. https://doi.org/10.23925/2179-3565.2021V12I1P170-187.

Ali, U., Ahmad, A., Hafeez, A., Jameel, T., Ahmad, M. S., & Mehmood, A. (2025). The relationship between corporate governance mechanisms and financial performance of non-financial firms in Pakistan. *Social Science Review Archives, 3*(1), 2802–2811. https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i1.611

Arif, K., Isa, C., & Mustapha, M. (2023). A Review of the Corporate Governance Structure of Pakistan. JISR Management and Social Sciences & Economics, 21(2), 41–58. https://doi.org/10.31384/jisrmsse/2023.21.2.3.

Arslan, M., & Alqatan, A. (2020). Role of institutions in shaping corporate governance system: Evidence from emerging economy. *Heliyon, 6*(3), e03520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03520.

Asghar, T., Mortimer, P., & Bilal, M. (2022). Corporate governance codes in Pakistan: A review. *Journal of Law & Social Studies, 4*(3), 383-392. https://doi.org/10.52279/jlss.04.03.383392.

Athar, M., Chughtai, S., & Rashid, A. (2023). Corporate governance and bank performance: Evidence from banking sector of Pakistan. Corporate Governance: The International *Journal of Business in Society, 23*(6), 1339-1360.

https://doi.org/10.1108/cg-06-2022-0261.

Bibi, T., Bhutta, B., Baloch, T., & Ghani, T. (2025). Role of corporate governance internal mechanism and CSR on enhancing firm performance: Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal for Social Science Archives, 3*(2), 142–157. https://doi.org/10.59075/jssa.v3i2.223

Dawood, M., Rehman, Majeed, U., & Idress, S. (2023). Contribution the effect of corporate governance on firm performance in Pakistan. *Review of Education, Administration & Law, 6*(1), 51-62. https://doi.org/10.47067/real.v6i1.304.

Egger, M., Higgins, J. P., & Smith, G. D. (Eds.). (2022). *Systematic reviews in health research: Meta-analysis in context.* John Wiley & Sons.

Farooq, M., Noor, A., & Ali, S. (2021). Corporate governance and firm performance: empirical evidence from Pakistan. Corporate Governance, 22(1), 42-66. https://doi.org/10.1108/cg-07-2020-0286.

Gan, J., Xie, L., Peng, G., Xie, J., Chen, Y., & Yu, Q. (2021). Systematic review on modification methods of dietary fiber. *Food Hydrocolloids, 119,* 106872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106872

Hanif, M., Khan, Y., Jamal, S., Gul, S., & Zeeshan, M. (2023). Role of Corporate Governance in Industries Facing Difference Levels of Competition: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Social Sciences Review, 3*(1), 639–658.

https://doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i1.188.

Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., & Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Language Teaching Research,* 13621688211001289. https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211001289

Hussain, M., Akhtar, R., & Mushtaq, S. A. (2025). A comparative study of the implementation of corporate governance legal frameworks in the banking sector of Pakistan: Insights from emerging economies. *The Journal of Research Review, 2*(2), 08-46. https://www.thejrr.com/index.php/39/article/view/113

Hussain, R. T., Akhtar, K., Ahmad, F., Salman, A., & Malik, S. (2023). Examining the intervening effect of earning management in governance mechanism and financial misstatement with lens of SDG and ESG: A study on non-financial firms of Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International, 31,* 46325–46341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-30128-0.

Junaid, M., Xue, Y., Syed, M. W., Ziaullah, M., & Riffat, N. (2020). Corporate governance mechanism and performance of insurers in Pakistan. *Green Finance, 2*(3),243-262. https://doi.org/10.3934/gf.2020014.

Khan, K., & Mahmood, Z. (2023). Impact of corporate governance on firm performance: A case of Pakistan stock exchange. *Liberal Arts and Social Sciences International Journal 7*(1), 24–38.https://doi.org/10.47264/idea.lassij/7.1.2.

Khan, S., Kamal, Y., Hussain, S., & Abbas, M. (2022). Corporate governance looking back to look forward in Pakistan: A review, synthesis and future research agenda. *Future Business Journal, 8,* 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-022-00137-5

Mufti, M., Arshad, M., & Haqqani, F. (2023). Assessment of corporate governance practices & its impact on financial performance: A case of banking sector of Pakistan. *Journal of Social Research Development, 4*(2), 457–467. https://doi.org/10.53664/jsrd/04-02-2023-19-457-467.

Mukhtar, Z., Jamil, M., & Rasheed, A. (2024). Corporate governance and ownership structure effect on corporate social performance: Evidence from non-financial industries of Pakistan. *Bulletin of Business and Economic, 13*(1).https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00237.

Nizam, K. (2022). Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan Banking Sector. *International Journal of Accounting and Management Sciences 1*(2)131-145. https://doi.org/10.56830/wwpt2651.

Komba, M. M. & Lwoga, E. T. (2020). Systematic Review as a Research Method in Library and Information Science. In P. Ngulube (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Connecting Research Methods for Information Science Research* (pp. 80-94). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1471-9.ch005

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., & Moher, D. (2021). Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: Development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 134,* 103-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.02.003

Pawson, R., Greenhalgh, T., Harvey, G., & Walshe, K. (2005). Realist review - A new method of systematic review designed for complex policy interventions. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 10*(1), 21-34. https://doi.org/10.1258/1355819054308530

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide.* Blackwell Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887

Qaim, S., & Ellahi, N. (2024). Implications of policies and governance on financial sustainability in the light of earning management: An evidence from an emerging economy. *SAGE Open, 14*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241290348

Rahi, S. (2017). Research design and methods: A systematic review of research, sampling issues, and instruments development. *International Journal of Economics Management Sciences, 6*(2), 403. https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000403

Raza, S. B., Sheikh, S. M., & Rahman, S. U. (2024). The mediating role of agency cost between corporate governance and financial performance: Evidence from Pakistan Stock Exchange. *IRASD Journal of Economics, 6*(1), 144–163. https://doi.org/10.52131/joe.2024.0601.0199.

Rehman, J. U., Hussain, K., Ahmed, I., Latif, A., & Ullah, R. (2024). Nexus between corporate governance and bank 'risks: Insight from the commercial banks in Pakistan. *Bulletin of Business and Economics, 13*(2), 877-883.

https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00379.

Shahzad, S., Luqman, M., Khan, M. A., & Asim, M. (2023). Corporate governance and profitability of insurance companies: Empirical insights from Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 11*(4), 4502–4510. https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2023.1104.0716

Shakri, I., Yong, J. Xiang, E. (2024). Corporate governance and firm performance: Evidence from political instability, political ideology, and corporate governance reforms in Pakistan. *Economics & Politics, 36*(3), 1633-1663. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12303.

Shakri, I., Yong, J. Xiang, E. (2025). Does capital structure mediate the relationship between corporate governance compliance and firm performance? Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Asia Business Studies,19*(2), 408-428.

https://doi.org/10.1108/jabs-08-2023-0315.

Victor, L. (2008). Systematic reviewing in the social sciences: Outcomes and explanation. *Enquire, 1*(1), 32-46. https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/sociology/documents/enquire/volume-1-issue-1-victo r.pdf